	Pg 1 of 8		
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3		X	
4	In Re:	: Case No. 05-44481	
5	DELPHI CORPORATION	: :	
6		: June 5, 2006	
7	Deb	otor. : One Bowling Green	
8		11 1.5 1511, 1.5w 1511	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF CONTINUED MOTION ON SECTION 1113 AND 1114 MOTION		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
11	01,112	-5	
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	For the Debtor:	JOHN WILLIAM BUTLER, JR., ESQ. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &	
14		FLOM, LLP 333 West Wacker Drive	
15		Chicago, IL 60606-1285	
16	For the IUEW:	THOMAS M. KENNEDY, ESQ. SUSAN JENNIK, ESQ.	
17		KENNEDY, JENNIK & MURRAY, P.C. 113 University Place	
18		7th Floor New York, NY 10003	
19	For the United Auto	BRUCE LEVINE, ESQ.	
20	Workers:	COHEN, WEISS & SIMON, LLP 330 West 42nd Street	
21		New York, NY 10036	
22	For the IAM and IBEW:	MARY ANN ROBBINS, ESQ.	
23	For Operating Engineers:	BARBARA MEHLSACK, ESQ. GORLIK, KRAVITZ & LITZHAUS, P.C.	
24		17 State Street 4th Floor	
25		New York, NY 10004	

05-44481-rdd Doc 4261 Filed 06/09/06 Entered 06/19/06 15:43:10 Main Document Pg 2 of 8

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
2	SOUTHERN	DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	For the UAW:	LOWELL PETERSON, ESQ.
4		MEYER, SUOZZI, ENGLISH & KLEIN 1350 Broadway, Suite 501
5		New York, NY 10018
6	For the Ad Hoc Committee of Equity Holders:	
7		
8	For the Official Committee of Security Holders of Delphi:	FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON One New York Plaza
9		
10		New York, NY 10004
11	For Ad Hoc Committee of Equity Holders:	GLENN KURTZ, ESQ.
12		
13		
14	Court Transcriber:	RUTH ANN HAGER
15		TypeWrite Word Processing Service 356 Eltingville Boulevard Staten Island, New York 10312
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

3 1 (Proceedings began at 10:37 a.m.) 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. Delphi 3 Corporation. 4 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, good morning. 5 Butler from the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & 6 Flom, LLP., sitting with our co-counsel, Omelvany, Meyers [Ph.] 7 and the Groom [Ph.] law group here for the continuation of the 8 debtor's Section 1113, 1114 motion. Your Honor, on Friday -- at Friday's hearing we 9 10 indicated to the Court -- we ended midafternoon -- that there 11 were going to be discussions on the other side of the dual 12 track over the course of the weekend. Those discussions, in fact, began and are continuing. They have been constructive. 13 14 And it is, I think, the consensual view of the parties that as 15 we continue to balance this dual track that it would be in the 16 best interests of the estate and the parties if we did not go forward with the union case today, but rather with the Court's 17 18 indulgence reschedule today's hearing for Friday, June 9th, at 19 9:00 a.m. and which will give the parties opportunities to 20 continue to have discussions. 21 That also permits us to conduct meetings of the 22 debtor's board of directors this week that are scheduled for 23 Tuesday and Wednesday and meetings of our official committees. 24 Both the equity committee and Creditors' Committee are 25 scheduled to meet with the debtors on Thursday. And that would

allow us, we think, to make progress on the dual track. And it has been a long weekend for many of us and as we have balanced the -- all of the factors, we've come to the Court and indicate -- and want to indicate that we think the dual track is enhanced by not going forward, at least at today's hearing.

We also, Your Honor, have consulted with Counsel for the two unions who had contemplated filing 7052 motions or at least raising them. We've had discussions as recently as prior to the commencement of this hearing and have concluded with Your Honor's permission that those motions would be in writing, would be filed on June 14th with our response on June 19th, and that they would be argued not earlier than June 23rd as it relates — and those — the June 23rd is currently a scheduled hearing date, albeit for another purpose. We're simply saying not earlier than that date for reasons that would permit the comp — the parties to continue to negotiate.

THE COURT: Okay. And as I said on Friday with regard to those motions I would like that relief or request for relief confined to whether Delphi has complied with the -- what I view as the four procedural requirements of the 1113(b)(1) weighing the consideration that if they were for some reasons reversed on appeal, I would not want to have a whole separate trial when there would be another trial of the other union cases going on.

And in addition to that, the unusual aspect of

Section 1113 that I noted on Friday, which is that during that trial [inaudible] contemplates bargaining. It just seemed to me that to have a meaningful [inaudible], in fact, it should be limited to the procedural requirements, so that's what I'm looking for.

All right. I take it, everyone else being silent, that this is an agreement. I should say that under 1113(b)(2), I think I need something in the record by the debtor and the unions agreeing to the extension of the requirement that the Court rule on the application for authority to reject within 30 days of the commencement of the trial. Given the number of unions and the number of issues in this case, and given the Court's candor, this is -- it may be [inaudible], but in any event, I can only imagine a trial which both the parties -- all the parties would take place over at least two weeks of a trial plan being hopeful within 30 days of its commencement given, you know, our schedule which is relatively [inaudible] with Chapter 13s and everything else. So I think we need that, although I think it's implicit.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the debtors -- and I apologize we didn't mention that because we were also cognizant this is a week that that -- the week that that day runs, the debtors are prepared to stipulate in the record at this time subject to further consideration by the Court and the parties that the time period be lengthened from 30 to 60 days.

6 1 THE COURT: All right. I don't know if you had 2 contemplated this. I just happen to have an extension now 3 through the 9th and we can talk about that then, but as a 4 practical matter, it's just not feasible to complete this trial 5 within 30 days of its commencement even if it were [inaudible] 6 ruling, even if it were to be able to [inaudible] without 7 further negotiations --8 MR. BUTLER: I'm happy -- we had not discussed this in the meet-and-confer, Your Honor, so you're happy 9 10 through the 9th for today? 11 THE COURT: [inaudible] that some way. 12 MR. BUTLER: We'll talk about it during the course 13 of the week. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. KENNEDY: Yes, I think the unions wish to go 16 on record as affirming their agreement with that extension, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. All right. So I 19 will see you on the 9th, I hope between now and then your --20 you continue to be productive and that maybe this will lead to 21 either a staged process or get into agreement on important 22 issues. And I think that's all for the better if you can do 23 that. MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Can I have 24 25 one moment, please?

7 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 [Pause in the proceedings.] 3 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the question has arisen 4 and I think it's a fair one to ask that if the parties as a 5 group believed that a further extension of the hearing date 6 from Friday to another date would be advisable would it be 7 possible for us to conduct a chambers conference to that 8 effect? 9 THE COURT: Yes. But I -- if you agree on 10 something like that, I think the only issue besides the length 11 of the extension is really you could rescind reporting 12 mechanism to help parties focused. We don't happen to have in 13 camera chambers conference, because I don't want to give --14 create more of a litigation background than I need to, and so 15 I'm certainly available for that type of conference. 16 MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. We'll contact 17 chambers if the need arises for that. We appreciate the 18 Court's indulgence with the parties' request. 19 THE COURT: Okav. 20 MR. BUTLER: Thank you. 21 (Proceedings concluded at 10:47 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Ruth Ann Hager Dated: June 6, 2006